

Annual Meeting

Didactics Submissions: Recommended Best Practices

Thank you for your interest in submitting one or more didactic proposals for the SAEM Annual Meeting, a national education, research, and innovation conference for academic emergency medicine.

All didactic submissions are reviewed and scored by the Didactics Subcommittee of the SAEM Program Committee. The didactic submission review process is highly competitive due to the large volume of submissions received; however, we are committed to helping you create high-quality didactic submissions that will enrich the content of our Annual Meeting and advance the mission of the Society. This document offers some specific guidance on:

- 1. Selecting your speaker(s)
- 2. Creating the content and objectives of your didactic
- 3. The context of the selection process
- 4. Examples of successful submissions from prior SAEM Annual Meetings

We hope that you find this guide useful as you develop your didactic submission(s). If you have questions regarding the submission platform or scheduling, please contact Holly Byrd-Duncan at <u>hbyrdduncan@saem.org</u> or Andrea Ray at <u>aray@saem.org</u>. For all other questions and concerns, please do not hesitate to contact us at <u>didactics@saem.org</u>. Good luck!

SAEM Mission: What is the big picture?

We're looking for what's new and/or insightful.

- Your didactic should contribute new evidence, insights, perspectives, arguments, or ways of thinking. Don't present something that most academicians already know well or can look up easily. The SAEM Annual Meeting is the largest gathering of academic emergency physicians in the country, enabling us to share exciting new knowledge or insights into our field. To make the most of our short time together, we are looking for didactics that are practice-changing, cutting edge, or inspiring. We are not looking for didactics that are basic summaries of existing knowledge that can be easily found elsewhere. This does not mean that we discourage talks about standard practice/procedures or "the status quo." However, if you are going to talk about central line placement, the residency match process, or door-to-doc times, we want to hear your expert opinion or research on how you think these standards will evolve, why they might be wrong, or how they apply differently to special populations, situations, or institutions. In general, reviews of clinical knowledge will not be accepted unless they come from landmark experts in the field.
- You are presenting to an audience of both experts and learners. Your didactic needs to appeal widely, even if you are covering a niche topic. Much like a research manuscript, high-quality

didactics should define the problem and state its importance before delving into your insights or arguments. Your talk should be inviting to learners and substantive for experts.

- Your didactic needs to be clearly relevant to emergency medicine. This may seem obvious, but our specialty is extremely broad and sometimes its boundaries become blurred. Please make sure that your didactic is solidly confined to emergency medicine. For instance, "time management" is a concept that can be broadly applied to almost every medical field and most endeavors in life. What insights on time management do you have to offer to academic emergency physicians that are different from insights that they can easily find elsewhere?
- Your didactic needs to stand out. Conference attendees will be browsing through hundreds of didactics among numerous other events sharing the same time slots. You need to be able to convince your target audience (and reviewers) that your talk will be worth their time. This means that you need to define innovative concepts as part of your proposal. If reviewers don't understand what you mean by "backwards design," attendees won't either.

Speakers: Who will be presenting?

Collaborate with others and think big.

- **Expertise matters.** Seek speakers or panelists that are well-respected and reputable experts for your topic. While speakers with national recognition are considered favorably, we also appreciate lesser-known speakers for whom you can clearly demonstrate expertise, experience, or quality.
- **Diversity matters.** If you are proposing multiple speakers or panelists, please include candidates with diverse backgrounds, viewpoints, or experiences. Each speaker that you include should offer a different perspective that enriches your submission.
- **Consider multi-institutional or multidisciplinary collaborations.** As with abstract submissions, didactic submissions that involve collaborations between multiple institutions or disciplines are considered favorably. The bigger and more creative your scope, the better.
- Work with other SAEM members or groups. Submissions that demonstrate thoughtful collaboration across SAEM Academies, Committees, Interest Groups, or individual members are considered favorably.

Speakers example: The SAEM Residents and Medical Students (RAMS) Committee collaborates with the SAEM Education Committee to invite a panel of national EM education experts to debate the merits of traditional versus innovative bedside teaching. The proposed panel is a diverse mix of men and women from different geographic areas with varied academic backgrounds.

Content: What will you present?

Be organized and scientifically rigorous.

• **Be focused.** Clearly state your objectives and intended audience. What problem(s) or controversies will you tackle? What knowledge gaps will you address? What insights will your audience gain that they cannot easily acquire elsewhere?

- **Be organized.** Clearly outline the structure and flow of your presentation. Propose a cogent introduction, message, and closing. If you have multiple presenters or panelists, describe what each one will present. If you have a non-traditional format, describe exactly what you propose to do and how this will enrich your presentation.
- **Consider timing.** Demonstrate thoughtful consideration of time constraints. Panels and small groups are typically more appropriate for a 50-minute format, whereas a single speaker is typically more appropriate for a 20-minute format (with some rare exceptions).
- **Offer high-quality supporting evidence.** Submissions that take an evidence-based approach, have a strong scientific basis, or incorporate critical thinking and analysis are considered favorably.
- **Submit a well-developed proposal.** Please only submit fully developed ideas. Avoid making vague promises that content "will be developed later upon acceptance." Submissions that do this implicitly by including descriptions that are only 1-2 sentences long are not considered favorably, even if high-quality speaker(s) or collaborations are involved.

Structure: How will you present?

Engage your audience effectively.

- **Be interactive.** Active audience participation and engagement are strongly encouraged. This can be in the form of audience polls, small groups, breakout sessions, or simply asking your audience questions during your presentation. Clearly describe the interactive components of your didactic(s) in your proposal(s).
- **Be creative.** We welcome all creative or innovative presentation styles. There is no "standard" format for SAEM didactics so long as you adhere to the time limit.
- Avoid gimmicks. While creativity is encouraged, please avoid adding an activity just for the sake of having one. All activities and interactions should be clearly linked to your objectives and advance or support your message in a meaningful way.
- Include time for questions. Please adjust your content to allow for at least 5 minutes of questions or comments from the audience during or after your didactic(s).

Structure example: "For the first half of the presentation, we will use an interactive lecture format to present key information relevant to malpractice risk and documentation (objectives 1 and 2). We will integrate poll everywhere to deliberately engage audience members and use it as a forum for submitting questions to our presentation group. The second half of the presentation will employ small breakout groups facilitated by speakers to help participants develop goals to improve their personal and organizational documentation practices (objectives 3 and 4). Take-aways and goals from the smaller groups will be shared with the entire audience."

Format: How should you advertise your submission?

Craft a compelling title and description

• **Pick a short and interesting title.** The session title is the first, and perhaps only, impression you'll make on a potential attendee. So, the better your session title, the better your odds of having what

you've written read by a larger percentage of people and compelling them to attend your session. Here are a few ways to make your title stand out:

- Promise benefits. For instance, use the terms "how to," "become," or "learn."
- Promise a story. For instance, "surviving ____ using ____ with ____."
- Itemize. This implies structure and precision. For instance, "3 ways to ____."
- Provoke curiosity/create FOMO. If you're presenting new research, make the most of it. Use the terms "reveal" or "unprecedented."
- **Pick instructional learning objectives.** Learning objectives are statements that follow the session description and describe what the participant is expected to achieve (outcomes) as a result of attending your session. Your didactic description should be:
 - o Stated in concrete and measurable terms, not abstract terms.
 - Self-contained. That is, the objective should be achieved by the end of the didactic and not require further study or learning by the student.
- Generate excitement through your description. Your description must not only give an overview of your session, it must also create interest and generate excitement about your presentation. A good session description should get the reader to say, "That sounds interesting! How do I learn more?" Here's a few ways to create interest:
 - Focus on the reader/attendee. Before you write your session description, take time to make a list of the benefits attendees will receive from participating. Then, pick the three most important points that address "what's in it for me?"
 - Spell out who should attend. Label the appropriate audience for each session in terms of professional level (trainee, junior faculty, senior faculty) and topic.
- Keep it short, simple, and acronym free. Avoid jargon to be accessible to a broad audience outside your niche. Describe your didactic in 500 words, then cut back to 280 words and write a tweet. You'll discover what is essential and what you can lose.

Format example:

Title: "Decrease Malpractice Risk - What your Charts are Lacking and How to Fix It"

Objectives: Upon completion of this session, participants should be able to: "1) Summarize the analysis of malpractice data from a NYC consortium, compared to benchmarks across a national database; 2) review outcomes of varied strategies to codify gaps in documentation that relate to malpractice claims; 3) develop an ideology of how to close gaps in your personal documentation practices; and 4) propose systems based solutions to improve documentation across your organization."

Proposal: "The average settlement in emergency room malpractice misdiagnosis cases in 2013 was approximately \$362,000. In a recent review of contributing factors resulting in the settlements, 35% of medical malpractice cases could have been avoided by improving documentation. Despite its significance as a major contributing factor in lawsuits, documentation is considered drudgery by many clinicians. Using data gleaned from unique malpractice insurance company sponsored quality improvement initiatives from a large consortium of health systems in the NYC area, we will discuss lessons learned to 1) identify key areas of ED charts where poor documentation was a contributing factor in a malpractice claim, 2) determine if scribes can improve documentation quality while improving patient satisfaction and provider efficiency, and 3) understand the impact of electronic medical record prompts to improve documentation among patients with high-risk chief complaints. Speakers have expertise in patient safety, operations, and experiential learning."

Context: What are you up against?

Stay informed about other work.

- Look into current "hot topics," controversies, or novel research in your topic area. This will increase the relevance of your proposal.
- Review accepted didactics in your topic area from prior years. This will help you avoid submitting a redundant proposal and may inspire you to expand or improve upon past sessions. Sometimes proposals are rejected not because they are poor quality, but because a very similar presentation was already given. Please note that we consider audience feedback from past sessions as part of the selection process for current submissions. You can review the accepted didactics from prior Annual Meetings by clicking on <u>SAEM22</u> or <u>SAEM23</u>
- Remember that the submission pool can vary significantly from year to year. Your didactic submission will be compared to submissions in the same focus area or topic. The number and quality of submissions in each topic can vary widely from year to year. Similarly, some years will have more 20 vs. 50-minute slots available in your focus area. Because of this, your submission may be highly competitive in some years and less competitive in others. We encourage you to remain open to revision, resubmission in subsequent years, or submitting to other SAEM speaking venues. You are always welcome to reach out to us for further guidance.

Want more advice?

Sign up for one of our webinars!

Webinars for SAEM24 will be held in late August and early September. Stay tuned to SAEM Weekly, SAEM social media, and the SAEM <u>Upcoming Webinars webpage</u> for exact dates!

We are excited to announce that the SAEM Program Committee will be hosting brand new webinars to share best practices for didactic submissions this year. These webinars are for any SAEM member who wants to bring submission ideas for tips and advice, is curious about the selection process, or wants to improve their overall submission quality. During the webinar, the Didactics Sub-Committee co-chairs will provide guidance about how to enhance didactic submissions and offer examples of best submissions from previous years.

Please note that we will only be offering general advice during the webinars. We will not be reviewing individual written submissions or drafts during the call. While the Program Committee will offer guidance during the webinar, every didactic submission will still undergo unbiased review by our independent selection committee. Participation in the webinar will not impact the prioritization or final selection of submissions.

<u>APPENDIX</u>

Examples of behavioral/measurable terms.

Your learning objectives should be stated using these terms.

| listarrangetellrecognizeciteselectpointrecordnamerecalllabelidentifytracerecordstaterelatememorizeselectquoteupdatesummarizedefinedescriberepeatreproducerecitedrawwrite Comprehension assessdemonstratetranslatediscussreviewreferencecontrastdifferentiateexpressrestateillustrateinterpretdistinguishexplainsummarizelocatetellreiteraterestatedescribeidentifycomparecritiquecomputeassociatereportclasifydiscussestimatepredictApplicationapplyrespondconductmatchreportpredictprescribesketchpracticeexecuterelatetranslatescheduleselectuserol-playdramatizeexaminetreatdevelopsolvedemonstrateemployoperateinterpretlocatesegutoconstructcompletecalculatereviewuseutilizeuserol-playdramatizeexaminetreatdevelopsolvedemonstrateemployoperateinterpretlocateAn | Knowledge/Information | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|------------|-----------|-----------|--|
| state definerelate describememorize repeatselect reproducequote reciteupdate drawsummarize writeComprehensionassessdemonstrate differentiatetranslate expressdiscussreview restatereference illustrateinterpret interpretassessdemonstrate distinguishexplainsummarize expresslocatetellreiterate compare estimatecompute computeassociatereportclassifycompare discusscritique estimatecompute predictprescribe selectapplyrespond constructconductmatch reportreportprescribe selectprescribe selectsketchpractice employexecute employrelate calculatereview reviewuse useutilizesolvedemonstrate employguantify experiment relatereview interpretuse deducedetectanalyze categorizecritique appraisequantify experiment relatecontract deducedetectfest categorizecritique appraiseconstruct constructconstruct express extrapolatediagram apply appraisecontract deducedetectsummarize categorizecritique appraisequantify extrapolate calculateexperiment apply appraisediagram apply appraisecontract assemble generalizedetectsummarize categorizeconstruct calculatedesign masure ap | list | arrange | tell | recognize | cite | select | point | |
| definedescriberepeatreproducerecitedrawwriteComprehensionassessdemonstratetranslatediscussreviewreferenceinterpretassessdifferentiateexpressrestateillustrateinterpretinterpretdistinguishexplainsummarizelocatetellreiterateinterpretassociatereportclassifydiscussestimatepredictpredictassociatereportclassifydiscussestimatepredictprescribeapplyrespondconductmatchreportpredictprescribesketchpracticeexecuterelatetranslatescheduleselectperformconstructcompletecalculatereviewuseutilizeuserole-playdramatizeexaminetreatdevelopoperatesolvedemonstrateemployoperateinterpretdeducedetectfinspectdifferentiateextrapolaterelateinferdeducedetectistinguishdiagnosemeasureapplyappraisesummarizecalculateproducesevelopformulateconstructdesignmodifyassemblegeneralizedocumentfuelsecalculatereporterelateinferdeducededucededucededuceistinguishdiagnosecalculatedebatequestion <td>record</td> <td>name</td> <td>recall</td> <td>label</td> <td>identify</td> <td>trace</td> <td>record</td> | record | name | recall | label | identify | trace | record | |
| Comprehensionassessdemonstrate differentiate distinguishtranslate express identify classifydiscuss restate illustrate tellreference interpret compute estimateassociatereportclassifylocate telltell reiterate compute estimatereiterate predictApplication applyrespond conduct complete sketchconduct execute relatematch reportreport predictprescribe select utilizeApplication applyconduct complete calculatematch report relatereport translate translateprescribe select utilizeApplication applyconstruct complete calculate relatereport review useprescribe select utilizeAnalyzis istinguish distinguish diagnose categorize appraisequantify extrapolate calculate apply appraiseexperiment relate debate apply appraisediagram contract deduce teratecontract detectSynthesis compose plan plan proposeconstruct createdesign modify manage generalize apraize specifyassemble generalize validateproduce documentSynthesis plan plan planseconclude scoreconstruct design manage generalize apraize specifyproduce dectectCompose planconstruct critique constructdesign manage manage generalize apraiseproduce documentSynthesis plancollect create collect c | state | relate | memorize | select | quote | update | summarize | |
| assess contrastdemonstrate differentiate explaintranslate expressdiscuss restatereview reference illustratereference interpretdistinguish exstate associateexplain describe describe reportsummarize identify classifylocate compare discusstell reiterate compute estimatereiterate compute predictApplication apply sketch perform construct construct complete demotsrate employconduct match relate calculatematch reportreport predict predict predictprescribe select perscribe selectAnalysis analyze categorizequantify extrapolate erationexperiment relatediagram report infercontract deduce deducedetectSynthesis categorizeformulate propose proposeconstruct establishdesign devise applymodify assemble generalizeassemble appraiseproduce documentSynthesis planconstruct measure planconstruct establishdesign devise apraisemodify assemble generalizeassemble apraiseproduce documentEvaluation planconclude assessscore evaluateinvestigate measureassess assessrecommend evaluaterecipe assessrecommend reticeAnalysis categorizeformulate appraiseconstruct constructdesign manage applymodify assemble generalizescore documentSynthesis composeconstruct propose </td <td>define</td> <td>describe</td> <td>repeat</td> <td>reproduce</td> <td>recite</td> <td>draw</td> <td>write</td> | define | describe | repeat | reproduce | recite | draw | write | |
| contrast distinguish restatedifferentiate supmarize identify classifyrestate locateillustrate tellinterpret reiterate compute predictApplication aspolyrespondconduct reportmatch relatereport relatepredictprescribe selectApplication sketchrespondconduct reportmatch relatereport relatepredict scheduleprescribe selectApply sketchrespond practiceconduct executematch relatereport relatepredict scheduleprescribe selectanalyze tinspectconstruct differentiatequantify extrapolateexperiment relatediagram relatecontract deducedetectSynthesis classingformulate reviseconstruct collectconstruct extrapolatedesign apply appraisemodify assemble generalizeassemble apredictproduce documentSynthesis planformulate extapolateconstruct reportdesign apraisemodify assemble apraiseassemble apreaiseproduce documentSynthesis planconclude assessscore evaluateinvestigate measureassess assessrecormend textcontract deviseSynthesis planconclude assessscore evaluateinvestigate measureassess assessrecormend textSynthesis develop planconclude assessscore evaluateinvestigate measureassess assess | Comprehension | | | | | | | |
| distinguish restate associateexplain describe reportsummarize identify classifylocate compare discusstell critique estimatereiterate compute predictApplication apply sketchrespond practiceconduct executematch relatereport translatepredictprescribe selectAnalysis olverole-play demonstratecomplete executecalculate relatereview relateuse translatedevelop locateAnalysis analyze inspectcritique differentiate cataloguequantify extrapolate calculateexperiment relatediagram infercontract deducedetectSynthesis develop reviseconstruct constructconstruct extrapolate calculateexperiment apply appraisediagram ontractcontract deducedetectSynthesis develop revise composeformulate establish proposeconstruct ereatedesign regionmodify manage arrange specifyassemble generalizeproduce documentEvaluation appraiseconclude assessscore evaluateinvestigate measureassessrecommend test | assess | demonstrate | translate | discuss | review | reference | | |
| restate associatedescribe reportidentify classifycompare discusscritique estimatecompute predictApplication applyrespond practiceconduct executematch relatereport translatepredict scheduleprescribe selectbertor sketch perform useconstruct comstructcomplete executematch relatereport translatepredict scheduleprescribe selectAnalysis oslvedemonstratequantify extrapolateexperiment relatediagram infercontract deducedetectAnalysis analyze cataloguequantify extrapolate calculateexperiment relatediagram infercontract deducedetectSynthesis categorizeformulate buildconstruct establishdesign integratemodify assemble arrange organizeassemble assessproduce documentEvaluation appraiseconclude assessscore evaluateinvestigate measureassess assessrecommend test | contrast | differentiate | express | restate | illustrate | interpret | | |
| associate report classify discuss estimate predict Application apply respond conduct match report predict prescribe sketch practice execute relate translate schedule select perform construct complete calculate review use utilize use role-play dramatize examine treat develop solve demonstrate employ operate interpret locate analyze critique quantify experiment diagram contract deduce inspect differentiate extrapolate calculate question inventory distinguish diagnose measure apply appraise summarize contrast Synthesis develop formulate construct design modify assemble generalize validate develop revise collect create integrate manage appraise validate develop formulate construct design modify assemble generalize validate develop revise collect create integrate manage appraise revise validate develop revise collect create integrate manage appraise revise validate develop revise collect create integrate manage appraise revise apply appraise specify detect Evaluation review conclude score investigate assess recommend test | distinguish | explain | summarize | locate | tell | reiterate | | |
| Application applyrespond practiceconduct executematch relatereport translatepredict scheduleprescribe selectperform useconstruct completecomplete dramatizecalculate examinereview treatuse developutilizesolvedemonstrateoperateinterpretlocatedetectAnalysis analyze inspectcritique differentiate cataloguequantify extrapolate calculateexperiment relatediagram infercontract deducedetecttest distinguish categorizecatalogue appraisecalculate extrapolate calculatequestion apply appraisecontract deducedetectSynthesis composeformulate proposeconstruct createdesign integratemodify manage apraiseassemble generalizeproduce documentEvaluation appraiseconclude scorescore evaluateinvestigate assessassess recommend deciderecommend test | restate | describe | identify | compare | critique | compute | | |
| apply sketch perform use solverespond practice executeconduct executematch relatereport translatepredict scheduleprescribe selectperform use solveconstruct comstructcomplete dramatize employcalculate operatereview treat interpretuse develop locateutilizeAnalysis analyze inspectcritique differentiate extrapolatequantify extrapolate calculateexperiment relatediagram use treatcontract deducedetectSynthesis develop reviseformulate collectconstruct constructdesign measure theorizemodify appry appry appraiseassemble generalizeproduce documentSynthesis develop reviseformulate collectconstruct createdesign nodify integratemodify manage apraiseassemble generalizeproduce documentEvaluation review appraiseconclude score evaluatescore investigate measureassess assessrecommend test | associate | report | classify | discuss | estimate | predict | | |
| sketch performpractice constructexecute complete dramatize employrelate calculate peratetranslate review useschedule use useselect utilizeuse solverole-play demonstratedramatize employcalculate operatereview treat interpretuse develop locateutilizeAnalysis analyze inspectcritique differentiate extrapolate catalogue diagnose appraisequantify extrapolate calculate debate apply appraiseexperiment relatediagram inventory appraise summarize contractdetectSynthesis develop revise collectconstruct createdesign measure integratemodify manage appraiseassemble generalizeproduce documentSynthesis planconstruct proposeconstruct prepreprepreprepreprepreprepreprepreprep | Application | | | | | | | |
| perform construct complete dramatize examine treat develop operate interpret locate develop locate demonstrate employ operate interpret locate develop locate detect differentiate extrapolate calculate debate question inventory distinguish diagnose measure apply appraise summarize contract distered test categorize appraise theorize criticize separate contrast develop develop develop to contract develop appraise summarize contrast develop develop appraise summarize contrast develop develop appraise summarize contrast develop develop to contract design measure apply appraise summarize contrast develop collect create integrate manage propose prepare organize specify detect detect detect develop appraise assess evaluate integrate measure assess recommend test detect detect detect detect develop integrate assess decide test detect detect detect detect detect detect detect detect appraise assess recommend test detect approxement appraise assess recommend test detect d | apply | respond | conduct | match | report | predict | prescribe | |
| use solverole-play demonstratedramatize employexamine operatetreat interpretdevelop locateAnalysis analyze inspectcritique differentiate catalogue diagnose appraisequantify extrapolate calculate measure theorizeexperiment relatediagram infer question appraisecontract deduce inventory summarize contractdetectSynthesis develop revise composeformulate proposeconstruct createdesign integrate apraisemodify assemble generalize arrange specifyassemble generalize deduceproduce documentEvaluation review appraiseconclude assessscore evaluateinvestigate measureassess assessrecommend test | sketch | practice | execute | relate | translate | schedule | select | |
| solve demonstrate employ operate interpret locate <u>Analysis</u> analyze critique quantify extrapolate calculate debate question inventory appraise summarize contract deduce test catalogue diagnose measure theorize criticize separate contrast <u>Synthesis</u> develop formulate construct design modify integrate manage generalize validate devise arrange validate devise arrange validate detect <u>Sunthesis</u> conclude establish devise arrange validate detect <u>Evaluation</u> conclude score evaluate investigate measure appraise score evaluate design modify detect detect design modify detect detect design modify detect detect design modify detect detect detect detect detect detect detect design modify detect det | perform | construct | complete | calculate | review | use | utilize | |
| Analysis analyze inspect test differentiate catalogue diagnose appraisequantify extrapolate calculate measure theorizeexperiment relate debate apply criticizediagram infer question appraise separatecontract deduce inventory | use | role-play | dramatize | examine | treat | develop | | |
| analyze inspect test differentiate catalogue diagnose appraisequantify extrapolate relate calculate measure theorizeexperiment relate debate apply criticizediagram infer deduce inventory summarize contractdetectSynthesis develop revise compose planformulate build proposeconstruct create createdesign integrate apraize arrange specifymodify assemble generalize validate detectassemble produce documentproduce documentEvaluation appraiseconclude assessscore evaluateinvestigate measureassess assessrecommend test | solve | demonstrate | employ | operate | interpret | locate | | |
| inspect test distinguish categorize design review appraise conclude appraise conclude appraise conclude appraise extrapolate calculate debate apply criticize revise contrast revise appraise separate infer deduce inventory appraise separate contrast design modify manage arrange specify devise arrange specify detect Evaluation review assess recommend test | Analysis | | | | | | | |
| test catalogue calculate debate apply appraise summarize contrast distinguish categorize appraise theorize criticize separate contrast Synthesis develop formulate construct design modify assemble generalize document revise collect create integrate manage generalize validate devise arrange validate propose prepare organize specify detect Evaluation review conclude score evaluate measure decide test | | critique | quantify | experiment | diagram | contract | detect | |
| test distinguish categorizecatalogue diagnose appraisecalculate measure theorizedebate apply criticizequestion appraise separateinventory summarize contrastSynthesis develop reviseformulate collectconstruct createdesign integrate devise organizemodify anrange arrange specifyassemble generalize validate detectproduce documentEvaluation review appraiseconclude scorescore evaluateinvestigate measureassessrecommend test | inspect | differentiate | extrapolate | relate | infer | deduce | | |
| categorizeappraisetheorizecriticizeseparatecontrastSynthesis developformulate collectconstruct createdesign integrate devisemodify manage ageneralize validate detectassemble generalize validate detectproduce documentcompose planbuild proposeestablish preparedevise organizearrange specifyvalidate detectEvaluation review appraiseconclude assessscore evaluateinvestigate measureassessrecommend test | test | catalogue | calculate | debate | question | inventory | | |
| Synthesis developformulate formulateconstruct constructdesign designmodify manageassemble generalizeproduce documentrevisecollectcreateintegratemanage arrangegeneralize validatedocumentcomposebuildestablishdevisearrange organizevalidate detectdocumentEvaluation reviewconcludescoreinvestigate measureassessrecommend test | distinguish | diagnose | measure | apply | appraise | summarize | | |
| develop reviseformulate collectconstruct createdesign integratemodify manageassemble generalizeproduce documentcompose planbuild proposeestablish preparedevise organizearrange specifyvalidate detectdetectEvaluation review appraiseconclude assessscore evaluateinvestigate measureassessrecommend test | categorize | appraise | theorize | criticize | separate | contrast | | |
| develop reviseformulate collectconstruct createdesign integratemodify manageassemble generalizeproduce documentcompose planbuild proposeestablish preparedevise organizearrange specifyvalidate detectdetectEvaluation review appraiseconclude assessscore evaluateinvestigate measureassessrecommend test | _ | | | | | | | |
| revise collect create integrate manage generalize document compose build establish devise arrange validate plan propose prepare organize specify detect Evaluation review conclude score investigate assess recommend appraise assess evaluate measure decide test | | 6 1 | | | | | | |
| composebuildestablishdevisearrangevalidateplanproposeprepareorganizespecifydetectEvaluationreviewconcludescoreinvestigateassessrecommendappraiseassessevaluatemeasuredecidetest | | | | • | , | | • | |
| planproposeprepareorganizespecifydetectEvaluationreviewconcludescoreinvestigateassessrecommendappraiseassessevaluatemeasuredecidetest | | | | 0 | • | 0 | document | |
| Evaluationreviewconcludescoreinvestigateassessrecommendappraiseassessevaluatemeasuredecidetest | - | | | | • | | | |
| review conclude score investigate assess recommend appraise assess evaluate measure decide test | plan | propose | prepare | organize | specify | detect | | |
| appraise assess evaluate measure decide test | Evaluation | | | | | | | |
| | review | conclude | score | investigate | assess | recommend | | |
| choose rate report on support grade estimate | | assess | evaluate | measure | | test | | |
| | | rate | report on | support | grade | estimate | | |
| justify compare select critique determine revise | justify | compare | | critique | determine | revise | | |
| argue defend interpret rank judge | argue | defend | interpret | rank | judge | | | |